Chapter 2

Immobilization of Enzymes: A Literature Survey

Beatriz Brena, Paula González-Pombo, and Francisco Batista-Viera

Abstract

The term immobilized enzymes refers to "enzymes physically confined or localized in a certain defined region of space with retention of their catalytic activities, and which can be used repeatedly and continuously."

Immobilized enzymes are currently the subject of considerable interest because of their advantages over soluble enzymes. In addition to their use in industrial processes, the immobilization techniques are the basis for making a number of biotechnology products with application in diagnostics, bioaffinity chromatography, and biosensors. At the beginning, only immobilized single enzymes were used, after 1970s more complex systems including two-enzyme reactions with cofactor regeneration and living cells were developed.

The enzymes can be attached to the support by interactions ranging from reversible physical adsorption and ionic linkages to stable covalent bonds. Although the choice of the most appropriate immobilization technique depends on the nature of the enzyme and the carrier, in the last years the immobilization technology has increasingly become a matter of rational design.

As a consequence of enzyme immobilization, some properties such as catalytic activity or thermal stability become altered. These effects have been demonstrated and exploited. The concept of stabilization has been an important driving force for immobilizing enzymes. Moreover, true stabilization at the molecular level has been demonstrated, e.g., proteins immobilized through multipoint covalent binding.

Key words Immobilized enzymes, Bioaffinity chromatography, Biosensors, Enzyme stabilization, Immobilization methods

1 Background

Enzymes are biological catalysts that promote the transformation of chemical species in living systems. These molecules, consisting of thousands of atoms in precise arrangements, are able to catalyze the multitude of different chemical reactions occurring in biological cells. Their role in biological processes, in health and disease, has been extensively investigated. They have also been a key component in many ancient human activities, especially food processing, well before their nature or function was known [1].

Table 1
Technological properties of immobilized enzyme systems [3]

Advantages	Disadvantages
Catalyst reuse	Loss or reduction in activity
Easier reactor operation	Diffusional limitation
Easier product separation	Additional cost
Wider choice of reactor	

Enzymes have the ability to catalyze reactions under very mild conditions with a very high degree of substrate specificity, thus decreasing the formation of by-products. Among the reactions catalyzed are a number of very complex chemical transformations between biological macromolecules, which are not accessible to ordinary methods of organic chemistry. This makes them very interesting for biotechnological use. At the beginning of the twentieth century, enzymes were shown to be responsible for fermentation processes and their structure and chemical composition started to come under scrutiny [2]. The resulting knowledge leads to the widespread technological use of biological catalysts in a variety of other fields such as textile, pharmaceutical, and chemical industries. However, most enzymes are relatively unstable, their costs of isolation are still high, and it is technically very difficult to recover the active enzyme, when used in solution, from the reaction mixture after use.

Enzymes can catalyze reactions in different states: as individual molecules in solution, in aggregates with other entities, and as attached to surfaces. The attached or "immobilized" state has been of particular interest to those wishing to exploit them for technical purposes. The term *immobilized enzymes* refers to "enzymes physically confined or localized in a certain defined region of space with retention of their catalytic activities, and which can be used repeatedly and continuously" [3]. The introduction of immobilized catalysts has, in some cases, greatly improved both the technical performance of the industrial processes and their economy (Table 1).

The first industrial use of immobilized enzymes was reported in 1966 by Chibata and coworkers, who developed the immobilization of *Aspergillus oryzae* aminoacylase for the resolution of synthetic racemic D-L amino acids [4]. Other major applications of immobilized enzymes are the industrial production of sugars, amino acids, and pharmaceuticals (Table 2) [5]. In some industrial processes, whole microbial cells containing the desired enzyme are immobilized and used as catalysts [6].

Table 2
Major products obtained using immobilized enzymes [3, 5]

Enzyme	Product
Glucose isomerase	High-fructose corn syrup
Amino acid acylase	Amino acid production
Penicillin acylase	Semi-synthetic penicillins
Nitrile hydratase	Acrylamide
β-Galactosidase	Hydrolyzed lactose (whey)

Aside from the application in industrial processes, the immobilization techniques are the basis for making a number of biotechnology products with application in diagnostics, bioaffinity chromatography, and biosensors [7, 8]. Therapeutic applications are also foreseen, such as the use of enzymes in extra-corporeal shunts [9].

In the past four decades, immobilization technology has developed rapidly and has increasingly become a matter of rational design but there is still the need for further development [10]. Extension of the use of immobilized enzymes to other practical processes will require both new methodologies and better understanding of those used at present.

2   History of Enzyme Immobilization

It is possible to visualize four steps in the development of immobilized biocatalysts (Table 3). In the first step at the beginning of the nineteenth century, immobilized microorganisms were being employed industrially on an empirical basis. This was the case of the microbial production of vinegar by letting alcohol-containing solutions trickle over wood shavings overgrown with bacteria, and that of the trickling filter or percolating process for waste water clarification [11].

The modern history of enzyme immobilization goes back to the late 1940s, but much of the early work was largely ignored for biochemists since it was published in Journals of other disciplines [12]. Since the pioneering work on immobilized enzymes in the early 1960s, when the basis of the present technologies was developed, more than 10,000 papers and patents have been published on this subject, indicating the considerable interest of the scientific community and industry in this field [4]. In the second step, only immobilized single enzymes were used but by the 1970s more complex systems, including two-enzyme reactions with cofactor

Table 3
Steps in the development of immobilized enzymes [11, 14]

Step	Date	Use
First	1815	Empirical use in processes such as acetic acid and waste water treatment.
Second	1960s	Single enzyme immobilization: production of L-amino acids, isomerization of glucose, etc.
Third	1985–1995	Multiple enzyme immobilization including cofactor regeneration and cell immobilization. Example: production of L-amino acids from keto-acids in membrane reactors.
Fourth	1995 to present	Ever-expanding multidisciplinary developments and applications to different fields of research and industry.

regeneration and living cells were developed [13]. As an example of the latter we can mention the production L-amino acids from α-keto acids by stereoselective reductive amination with L-amino acid dehydrogenase. The process involves the consumption of NADH and regeneration of the coenzyme by coupling the amination with the enzymatic oxidation of formic acid to carbon dioxide with concomitant reduction of NAD+ to NADH, in the reaction catalyzed by the second enzyme, formate dehydrogenase. More recently, in the last few decades, immobilized enzyme technology has become a multidisciplinary field of research with applications to clinical, industrial and environmental samples [14].

The major components of an immobilized enzyme system are: the enzyme, the support and the mode of attachment of the enzyme to the matrix. The term solid-phase, solid support, support, carrier, and matrix are used synonymously.

3   Choice of Supports

The characteristics of the matrix are of paramount importance in determining the performance of the immobilized enzyme system. Ideal support properties include physical resistance to compression, hydrophilicity, inertness towards enzymes, ease of derivatization, bio-compatibility, resistance to microbial attack, and availability at low cost [12–15]. However, even though immobilization on solid supports is an established technology, there are still no general rules for selecting the best support for a given application.

Supports can be classified as inorganic and organic, according to their chemical composition (Table 4). The organic supports can be subdivided into natural and synthetic polymers [16].

Table 4
Classification of supports

Organic
Natural polymers
• Polysaccharides: cellulose, dextrans, agar, agarose, chitin, alginate
• Proteins: collagen, albumin
• Carbon
Synthetic polymers
• Polystyrene
• Other polymers: polyacrylate, polymethacrylates, polyacrylamide, polyamides, vinyl and allyl-polymers
Inorganic
Natural minerals
Bentonite, silica
Processed materials
Glass (non-porous and controlled pore), metals, controlled pore metal oxides

The physical characteristics of the matrices (such as mean particle diameter, swelling behavior, mechanical strength, compression behavior) will be of major importance for the performance of the immobilized systems and determine the type of reactor used under technical conditions (i.e., stirred tank, fluidized, fixed beds). In particular, pore parameters and particle size determine the total surface area and thus critically affect the capacity for binding of enzymes. Nonporous supports show few diffusional limitations but have a low loading capacity. Therefore, porous supports are in general preferred because the high surface area allows a higher enzyme loading and the immobilized enzyme is more protected from the environment. Porous supports should have a controlled pore distribution in order to optimize capacity and flow properties. In spite of the many advantages of inorganic carriers (e.g., high stability against physical, chemical, and microbial degradation), most of the industrial applications are performed with organic matrices. The hydrophilic character is one of the most important factors determining the level of activity of an immobilized enzyme [17].

Agarose is an excellent matrix which has been extensively used. In addition to its high porosity which leads to a high capacity for proteins, some other advantages of using agarose are hydrophilic character, ease of derivatization, absence of charged groups (which prevents nonspecific adsorption of substrate and products), and

commercial availability. However, an important limitation of agarose and other porous supports is the high cost. An approach to avoid this problem is the use of reversible methods of immobilization that allow matrix regeneration and reuse.

In turn, macroporous acrylic polymers such as Eupergit® C (Röhm, Darmstadt, Germany) and Sepabeads® EC (Resindion, Milan, Italy), are suitable carriers for covalent immobilization of enzymes for industrial applications, and are amongst the most extensively studied matrixes [18–20].

Nanomaterials can serve as excellent support materials for enzyme immobilization, offering ideal characteristics for balancing the key factors that determine the efficiency of biocatalysts: surface area, mass transfer resistance and effective enzyme loading [21, 22]. Nanotechnology has provided a wide variety of alternatives for enzyme immobilization leading to potential applications in biotechnology, immunosensing, and biomedical areas [23]. Recently, enzymes immobilized to nanosized supports such as polymer microspheres, fibers, tubes, as well as various metal and magnetic nanoparticles have been reported [23–25].

4   Methods of Immobilization

In the last decades, thousands of protocols have been reported in the literature [26–29] and various immobilization strategies can be envisioned [30]. The enzymes can be attached to the support by interactions ranging from reversible physical adsorption and ionic linkages to stable covalent bonds. One way of classifying the various approaches to immobilizing enzymes is in two broad categories: irreversible and reversible methods [31] (Fig. 1). The strength

Fig. 1 Schematic representation of the main different methods of enzyme immobilization (*E* enzyme)

Table 5
Advantages and disadvantages of the main enzyme immobilization methods

Methods and binding nature	Advantages	Disadvantages
Physical adsorption Weak bonds: hydrophobic, Van der Waals or ionic interactions.	Simple and cheap Little conformational change of the enzyme	Desorption Nonspecific adsorption
Affinity Affinity bonds between two affinity partners	Simple and oriented immobilization Remarkable selectivity	High cost
Covalent binding Chemical binding between functional groups of the enzyme and support	No enzyme leakage Potential for enzyme stabilization	Matrix and enzyme are not regenerable Major loss of activity
Entrapment Occlusion of an enzyme within a polymeric network	Wide applicability	Mass transfer limitations Enzyme leakage
Cross-linking Enzymes molecules are cross-linked by a functional reactant	Biocatalyst stabilization	Cross-linked biocatalysts are less useful for packed beds. Mass transfer limitations Loss of activity

of the binding is usually inversely related to the ease with which it can be reversed. These two conflicting objectives, stability, and reversibility are difficult to fulfill simultaneously. The traditional approach has been to make the bond as strong as possible and sacrifice reversibility.

In addition, immobilization methods are often classified by the type of chemical reaction used for binding (Table 5). In some cases, enzyme immobilization protocols are also based on the combination of several immobilization methods. For example, an enzyme can be pre-immobilized on beads by adsorption, affinity, or covalent bonds before further entrapment in a porous polymer.

Each immobilization method presents advantages and drawbacks (Table 5). The choice of the most appropriate technique also depends on the nature of the enzyme (biochemical and kinetics properties) and the carrier (chemical characteristics, mechanical properties). So, the interaction between the enzyme and support provides an immobilized enzyme with particular biochemical and physicochemical properties that determine their applicability to specific processes.

5   Methods of Irreversible Enzyme Immobilization

The concept of irreversible immobilization means that once the biocatalyst is attached to the support, it cannot be detached without destroying either the biological activity of the enzyme or the support. The most common procedures of irreversible enzyme immobilization are covalent coupling, entrapment or microencapsulation, and cross-linking (Fig. 1).

5.1   Formation of Covalent Bonds

Immobilization of proteins by methods based on the formation of covalent bonds is among the most widely used. An advantage of these methods is that, because of the stable nature of the bonds formed between enzyme and matrix, the enzyme is not released into the solution upon use. However, in order to achieve high levels of bound activity, the amino acid residues essential for catalytic activity must not be involved in the covalent linkage to the support, and this may prove a difficult requirement to fulfill in some cases. A simple procedure that sometimes improves the activity yield is to carry out the coupling reaction in the presence of substrate analogues [32]. Covalent methods for immobilization are employed when there is a strict requirement for the absence of the enzyme in the product.

A wide variety of reactions have been developed depending on the functional groups available on the matrix [33]. Coupling methods in general can be divided in two main classes: (1) activation of the matrix by addition of a reactive function to a polymer; (2) modification of the polymer backbone to produce an activated group (Tables 6 and 7). The activation processes are generally designed to generate electrophilic groups on the support which in the coupling step react with the strong nucleophiles on the proteins. The basic principles controlling the course of covalent coupling to the matrices are analogous to those used for the chemical modification of proteins. The most frequently used reactions involve the following side chains of the amino acids: lysine (ε-amino group), cysteine (thiol group), aspartic and glutamic acids (carboxylic group).

There are many commercially available supports for immobilization; the best choice in each case requires the consideration of some relevant properties of the catalyst and the intended use. However, it is usually necessary to try more than one approach and then adapt a method to the specific circumstances [34].

The covalent reactions commonly employed give rise to enzymes linked to the support through, e.g., amide, ether, thioether, or carbamate bonds. Therefore, the enzyme is strongly bound to the matrix and in many cases it is also stabilized, which will be discussed later in Subheading 7. However, because of the covalent nature of the bond, the matrix has to be discarded together

Table 6
Covalent coupling methods of enzymes: activation of matrix hydroxyl functions

Activation method	Group that reacts (with activated matrix)	References
Tresyl chloride, sulfonyl chloride Excellent Thiols, amines 0.1–1.0 sulfonyl Chlorides	Thiol, amine	[35]
Cyanogen bromide	Amine	[36]
Bis oxiranes (epoxides)	Thiol, amine	[37]
Epichlorohydrin	Thiol, amine	[37]
Glutaraldehyde	Amine	[37]
Glycidol-Glyoxyl	Amine	[38]
N-Hydroxy-succinimidyl	Amine	[39, 40]

Table 7
Covalent coupling methods of enzymes: modification of the polymer backbone to produce an activated group

Polymer	Group that reacts	Reagent	Activated group produced	Group that reacts (with activated matrix)	References
Cellulose Agarose	Diol	Periodate	Aldehyde	Amine	[41]
Polyacrylamide	Amide	Hydrazine	Hydrazide	Amine	[42]
Polyacrylamide	Amide	Acid pH	Carboxylic acid	Amine	[42]
Polyester	Ester	Acid pH	Carboxylic acid + alcohol	Amine	[43]
Polyethylene	CH_2	Conc. Nitric acid	Carboxylic acid	Amine	[44]
Polystyrene		Conc. Nitric acid	Nitrated aromatic ring	Histidine, Tyrosine	[45, 46]
Nylon	Amide	Hydrazine	Hydrazide	Amine	[47]

with the enzyme once the enzymatic activity decays. The benefit of obtaining a leak proof binding between enzyme and matrix resulting from these reactions could be partially offset by the cost, in terms of generally low yield of immobilized activity and by the nonreversible character of this binding. Enzymes attached covalently by disulfide bonds to solid supports, represent one way to avoid this problem, as will be described in Chapter 7.

5.2 Entrapment and Cross-linking

The entrapment method is based on the occlusion of an enzyme within a polymeric network that allows the substrate and products to pass through but retains the enzyme [48]. This method differs from the coupling methods described above, in that the enzyme is not bound to the matrix or membrane. There are different approaches to entrapping enzymes such as gel [49] or fiber entrapping [50], and micro-encapsulation [51]. The practical use of these methods is restricted by mass transfer limitations through membranes or gels.

The more recently reported technique [52, 53] for immobilization of enzymes as cross-linked enzyme aggregates (CLEAs®) diverges slightly from the conventional immobilization methods. CLEAs are based on multipoint attachment through intermolecular cross-linking between enzyme molecules. Successful preparation of CLEAs from a broad range of enzymes, including penicillin acylases, lipases, laccases, and horseradish peroxidase is currently being evaluated by many researchers [54].

6   Methods of Reversible Immobilization

Because of the type of the enzyme-support binding, reversibly immobilized enzymes can be detached from the support under gentle conditions. The use of reversible methods for enzyme immobilization is highly attractive, mostly for economic reasons simply because when the enzymatic activity decays the support can be regenerated and re-loaded with fresh enzyme. Indeed, the cost of the support is often a primary factor in the overall cost of immobilized catalyst. The reversible immobilization of enzymes is particularly important for immobilizing labile enzymes and for applications in bioanalytical systems [31].

6.1 Adsorption (Noncovalent Interactions)

6.1.1 Nonspecific Adsorption

The simplest immobilization method is nonspecific adsorption which is mainly based on physical adsorption or ionic binding [55, 56]. In physical adsorption the enzymes are attached to the matrix through hydrogen bonding, van der Waals forces, or hydrophobic interactions, whereas in ionic bonding the enzymes are bound through salt linkages. The nature of the forces involved in noncovalent immobilization results in a process which can be reversed by changing the conditions that influence the strength of the interaction (pH, ionic strength, temperature, or polarity of the solvent). Immobilization by adsorption is a mild, easy to perform process, and usually preserves the catalytic activity of the enzyme. Such methods are therefore economically attractive, but may suffer from problems such as enzyme leakage from matrix when the interactions are relatively weak.

6.1.2   Ionic Binding

An obvious approach to the reversible immobilization of enzymes is to base the protein-ligand interactions on principles used in chromatography. For example, one of the first applications of chromatographic principles in the reversible immobilization of enzymes was the use of ion-exchangers [4, 57, 58]. The method is simple and reversible but, in general, it is difficult to find conditions under which the enzyme remains both strongly bound and fully active. More recently, the use of immobilized polymeric ionic ligands has allowed to modulate the interactions between protein and matrix and thus to optimize the properties of the derivative. A number of patents have been filed on the use of polyethyleneimine to bind a rich variety of enzymes and whole cells [59].

However, problems may arise from the use of a highly charged support when the substrates or products are themselves charged; the kinetics are distorted due to partition or diffusion phenomena. Therefore, enzyme properties such as its optimum pH or the pH stability range may change [60, 61]. Although this could be a problem it can also be useful to shift the optimal conditions of a certain enzyme towards more alkaline or acidic conditions, depending on the application [62].

6.1.3   Hydrophobic Adsorption

Another approach is the use of hydrophobic interactions. In this method, it is not the formation of chemical bonds but rather an entropically driven interaction that takes place. Hydrophobic adsorption has been used as a chromatographic principle for more than three decades. It relies on well-known experimental variables such as pH, salt concentration, and temperature [63]. The strength of interaction relies both on the hydrophobicity of the adsorbent and that of the protein. The hydrophobicity of the adsorbent can be regulated by the degree of substitution of the support and by the size of the hydrophobic ligand molecule. The successful reversible immobilization of β-amylase and amyloglucosidase to hexyl-agarose carriers has been reported [64, 65]. Several other examples of strong reversible binding to hydrophobic adsorbents have also been reported [66–68].

6.1.4   Affinity Binding

The principle of affinity between complementary biomolecules has been applied to enzyme immobilization. The remarkable selectivity of the interaction is a major benefit of the method. However, the procedure often requires the covalent binding of a costly affinity ligand (e.g., antibody or lectin) to the matrix [69].

6.2   Chelation or Metal Binding

Transition metal salts or hydroxides deposited on the surface of organic carriers become bound by coordination with nucleophilic groups on the matrix. Mainly titanium and zirconium salts have been used and the method is known as "metal link immobilization" [16, 70, 71]. The metal salt or hydroxide is precipitated onto the support (e.g., cellulose, chitin, alginic acid, silica-based carriers) by

heating or neutralization. Because of steric factors, it is impossible for the matrix to occupy all coordination positions of the metal, and therefore some of the positions remain free to coordinate with groups from the enzymes. The method is quite simple and the immobilized specific activities obtained with enzymes in this way have been relatively high (30–80 %) However, the operational stabilities achieved are highly variable and the results are not easily reproducible. The reason for this lack of reproducibility is probably related to the existence of nonuniform adsorption sites and to a significant metal ion leakage from the support. In order to improve the control of the formation of the adsorption sites, chelator ligands can be immobilized on the solid supports by means of stable covalent bonds. The metal ions are then bound by coordination, and the stable complexes formed can be used for the retention of proteins. Elution of the bound proteins can be easily achieved by competition with soluble ligands or by decreasing pH. The support is subsequently regenerated by washing with a strong chelator such as EDTA (ethylene diamino tetraacetic acid disodium salt) when desired. These metal chelated supports were named IMA (Immobilized Metal-Ion Affinity)-adsorbents and have been used extensively in protein chromatography [72, 73]. The approach of using different IMA-gels as supports for enzyme immobilization has been studied using *E. coli* β-galactosidase as a model [74].

6.3 Formation of Disulfide Bonds   These methods are unique because, even though a stable covalent bond is formed between matrix and enzyme, this bond can be broken by reaction with a suitable agent such as dithiothreitol (DTT) under mild conditions. Additionally, since the reactivity of the thiol groups can be modulated by changing the pH, the activity yield of the methods involving disulfide bond formation is usually high, provided that an appropriate thiol-reactive adsorbent with high specificity is used [75]. Immobilization methods based on this strategy are discussed in Chapter 7.

7 Properties of Immobilized Enzymes

The properties of immobilized enzymes are determined by the characteristics of carrier material as well as by the nature and number of interactions between the enzyme and the support. As a consequence of enzyme immobilization, the stability and kinetic properties of enzymes are usually changed, mostly due to the microenvironment and modifications imposed by the supporting matrix [11, 76].

This modification in the properties may be caused either by changes in the intrinsic activity of the immobilized enzyme or by the fact that the interaction between the immobilized enzyme and the substrate takes place in a micro-environment that is different from the bulk solution. So, one of the main problems associated

with the use of immobilized enzymes is the loss of catalytic activity, especially when the enzymes are acting on macromolecular substrates. Because of the limited access of the substrate to the active site of the enzyme, the activity may be reduced to accessible surface groups of the substrate only. This steric restriction may in turn, change the characteristic pattern of products derived from the macromolecular substrate [77]. There are several strategies to avoid these steric problems such as: the selection of supports composed by networks of isolated macromolecular chains, the careful choice of the enzyme residues involved in the immobilization, and the use of hydrophilic and inert spacer arms [78].

The observed changes in the catalytic properties upon immobilization may also be due to changes in the three-dimensional conformation of the protein provoked by the binding of the enzyme to the matrix. These effects have been demonstrated and, to a lesser extent exploited for a limited number of enzyme systems. Quite often, when an enzyme is immobilized, its operational stability at higher temperature and in the presence of organic solvents is highly improved [79]. The concept of stabilization has thus been an important driving force for immobilizing enzymes. True stabilization at the molecular level has been demonstrated, such as the case of proteins immobilized through multipoint covalent binding [80]. Studies carried out by several authors using different methods have demonstrated that there is a correlation between stabilization and the number of covalent bonds to the matrix [81–83].

8   Enzyme Immobilization Mimics Biology

Although the science of enzyme immobilization has developed as a consequence of its technical utility, one should recognize that the advantages of having enzymes attached to surfaces have been exploited by living cells as long as life existed. An inquiry into the biological role of enzyme immobilization may provide some lessons for the biotechnologists and serve as a second point of departure, in addition to the purely chemical one. In fact, there is experimental evidence that the immobilized state might be the most common one for enzymes in their natural environment. In an attempt to mimic biology, co-immobilization of a number of sequential or cooperating biocatalysts on the same support has been used as a strategy to improve stability and enhance reaction kinetics [84]. The attachment of enzymes to the appropriate surface ensures that they stay at the site where their activity is required. This immobilization enhances the concentration at the proper location, and it may also protect the enzyme from being destroyed. Numerous bi-enzyme systems have been reported; a remarkable example is the co-immobilization of peroxidase and glucose oxidase onto carbon nanotubes to be used as a glucose biosensor [85, 86].

References

1. Berg JM, Tymoczko JL, Stryer L (2007) Biochemistry. Freeman, New York, NY
2. Creighton TE (1984) Proteins. Freeman, Oxford
3. Katchalski-Katzir E (1993) Immobilized enzymes: learning from past successes and failures. Trends Biotechnol 11:471–478
4. Tosa T, Mori T, Fuse N, Chibata I (1966) Studies on continuous enzyme reactions. I. Screening of carriers for preparation of water-insoluble aminoacylase. Enzymologia 31: 214–224
5. Tanaka A, Tosa T, Kobayashi T (1993) Industrial application of immobilized biocatalysts. Marcel Dekker, New York, NY
6. Swaisgood HE (1985) Immobilization of enzymes and some applications in the food industry. In: Laskin AI (ed) Enzymes and immobilized cells in biotechnology. Benjamin Cummings, London, pp 1–24
7. Guibault GG, Kauffmann JM, Patriarche GJ (1991) Immobilized enzyme electrodes as biosensors. In: Taylor RF (ed) Protein immobilization. Fundamentals and applications. Marcel Dekker, New York, NY, pp 209–262
8. Taylor RF (1991) Immobilized antibody- and receptor based biosensors. In: Taylor RF (ed) Protein immobilization. Fundamentals and applications. Marcel Dekker, New York, NY, pp 263–303
9. Chang MS (1991) Therapeutic applications of immobilized proteins and cells. In: Taylor RF (ed) Protein immobilization. Fundamentals and applications. Marcel Dekker, New York, NY, pp 305–318
10. Bickerstaff GF (1995) Impact of genetic technology on enzyme technology. Genet Eng Biotechnol J 15:13–30
11. Hartmeier W (1988) Immobilized biocatalysts. Springer, Berlin
12. Trevan M (1980) Techniques of immobilization. In immobilized enzymes. An introduction and applications in biotechnology. Wiley, Chichester, New York, pp 1–9
13. Brodelius P, Mosbach K (1987) Immobilization techniques for cells/organelles. In: Mosbach K (ed) Methods in enzymology, vol 135. Academic, London, pp 173–454
14. Khan A, Alzohairy A (2010) Recent advances and applications of immobilized enzyme technologies: a review. Res J Biol Sci 5(8): 565–575
15. Buchholz K, Klein J (1987) Characterization of immobilized biocatalysts. In: Mosbach K (ed) Methods in enzymology, vol 135. Academic, London, pp 3–30
16. Cabral JMS, Kennedy JF (1991) Covalent and coordination immobilization of proteins. In: Taylor RF (ed) Protein immobilization. Fundamentals and applications. Marcel Dekker, New York, NY, pp 73–138
17. Gemeiner P (1992) Materials for enzyme engineering. In: Gemeiner P (ed) Enzyme engineering. Ellis Horwood, New York, NY, pp 13–119
18. Katchalski-Katzir E, Kraemer DM (2000) Eupergit C. A carrier for immobilization of enzymes of industrial potential. J Mol Catalysis B: Enzymatic 10:157–176
19. Boller T, Meier C, Menzler S (2002) EUPERGIT oxirane acrylic beads: how to make enzymes fit for biocatalysis. Org Process Res Dev 6:509–519
20. Sheldon RA (2007) Enzyme immobilization: the quest for optimum performance. Adv Synth Catal 349:1289–1307
21. Kim J, Grate JW, Wang P (2008) Nanobiocatalysis and its potential applications. Trends Biotechnol 26(11):639–646
22. Feng W, Ji P (2011) Enzymes immobilized on carbon nanotubes. Biotech Adv 29:889–895
23. Ansari A, Husain Q (2012) Potential applications of enzymes immobilized on/in nano materials: a review. Biotech Adv 30:512–523
24. Kim J, Grate JW, Wang P (2006) Nanostructures for enzyme stabilization. Chem Eng Sci 61:1017–1026
25. Yim TJ, Kim DY, Karajanagi SS, Lu TM, Kane R, Dordick JS (2003) Silicon nanocolumns as novel nanostructured supports for enzyme immobilization. J Nanosci Nanotechnol 3: 479–482
26. Cao L (2005) Immobilised enzymes: science or art? Curr Opin Chem Biol 9:217–226
27. Guisan JM (2006) Methods in biotechnology: immobilization of enzymes and cells, 2nd edn. Humana Press, Totowa, NJ
28. Mateo C, Palomo JM, Fernandez-Lorente G, Guisan JM, Fernandez-Lafuente R (2007) Improvement of enzyme activity, stability and selectivity via immobilization techniques. Enzyme Microb Technol 40:1451–1463
29. Minteer SD (2011) Enzyme stabilization and immobilization: methods and protocols. Methods in molecular biology. Humana Press, Totowa, NJ
30. Sassolas A, Blum LJ, Leca-Bouvier BD (2012) Immobilization strategies to develop enzymatic biosensors. Biotech Adv 30:489–511
31. Gupta M, Mattiasson B (1992) Unique applications of immobilized proteins in bioanalytical systems. In: Suelter CH (ed) Methods of

biochemical analysis, vol 36. Wiley, New York, NY, pp 1–34

32. Mattiasson B, Kaul R (1991) Determination of coupling yields and handling of labile proteins in immobilization technology. In: Taylor RF (ed) Protein immobilization. Fundamentals and applications. Marcel Dekker, New York, NY, pp 161–179

33. Scouten WH (1987) A survey of enzyme coupling techniques. In: Mosbach K (ed) Methods in enzymology, vol 135. Academic, London, pp 30–65

34. Taylor RF (1991) Commercially available supports for protein immobilization. In: Taylor RF (ed) Protein immobilization. Fundamentals and applications. Marcel Dekker, New York, NY, pp 139–160

35. Nilsson K, Mosbach K (1987) Tresyl chloride-activated supports for enzyme immobilization. In: Mosbach K (ed) Methods in enzymology, vol 135. Academic, London, pp 65–78

36. Axén R, Porath J, Ernback S (1967) Chemical coupling of peptides and proteins to polysaccharides by means of cyanogen halides. Nature 214:1302–1304

37. Porath J, Axén R (1976) Immobilization of enzymes to agar, agarose, and sephadex supports. In: Mosbach K (ed) Methods in enzymology, vol XLIV. Academic, New York, NY, pp 19–45

38. Guisán JM (1988) Agarose-aldehyde gels as supports for immobilization- stabilization of enzymes. Enzyme Microb Technol 10:375–382

39. Wilchek M, Miron T (1982) A spectrophotometric assay for soluble and immobilized N-hydroxysuccinimide esters. Anal Biochem 126:433–435

40. Drobníck J, Labský J, Kudlvasrová H, Saudek V, Švec F (1982) The activation of hydroxy groups of carriers with 4-nitrophenyl and N-hydroxysuccinimidyl chloroformates. Biotechnol Bioeng 24:487–493

41. Parikh I, March S, Cuatrecasas P (1974) Topics in the methodology of substitution reactions with agarose. In: Jacoby WB, Wilchek M (eds) Methods in enzymology, vol XXXIV. Academic, New York, NY, pp 77–102

42. Inman JK, Dintzis HM (1969) The derivatization of cross-linked polyacrylamide beads. Controlled introduction of functional groups for the preparation of special-purpose, biochemical adsorbents. Biochemistry 8:4074–4082

43. Rozprimova L, Franek F, Kubanek V (1978) Utilization of powder polyester in making insoluble antigens and pure antibodies. Cesk Epidemiol Mikrobiol Immunol 27:335–341

44. Ngo TT, Laidler KJ, Yam CF (1979) Kinetics of acetylcholinesterase immobilized on polyethylene tubing. Can J Biochem 57:1200–1203

45. Grubhofer N, Schleith L (1954) Protein coupling with diazotized polyaminostyrene. Hoppe Seylers Z Physiol Chem 297:108–112

46. Beitz J, Schellemberger A, Lasch J, Fischer J (1980) Catalytic properties and electrostatic potential of charged immobilized enzyme derivatives. Pyruvate decarboxylase attached to cationic polystyrene beads of different charge densities. Biochim Biophys Acta 612:451–454

47. Hornby WE, Goldstein L (1976) Immobilization of enzymes on nylon. In: Jacoby WB, Wilchek M (eds) Methods in enzymology, vol XXXIV. Academic, New York, NY, pp 118–134

48. O'Driscoll KF (1976) Techniques of enzyme entrapment in gels. In: Mosbach K (ed) Methods in enzymology, vol XLIV. Academic, New York, NY, pp 169–183

49. Bernfeld P, Wan J (1963) Antigens and enzymes made insoluble by entrapping them into lattices of synthetic polymers. Science 142:678–679

50. Dinelli D, Marconi W, Morisi F (1976) Fiber-entrapped enzymes. In: Mosbach K (ed) Methods in enzymology, vol XLIV. Academic, New York, NY, pp 227–243

51. Wadiack DT, Carbonell RG (1975) Kinetic behavior of microencapsulated β-galactosidase. Biotechnol Bioeng 17:1157–1181

52. Tran D, Balkus K (2011) Perspective of recent progress in immobilization of enzymes. ACS Catal 1:956–968

53. Cao L, van Langen L, Sheldon RA (2003) Immobilised enzymes: carrier-bound or carrier-free? Curr Opin Biotechnol 14:387–394

54. Suleka F, Perez Fernandez D, Kneza Z, Habulina M, Roger A (2011) Immobilization of horseradish peroxidase as crosslinked enzyme aggregates (CLEAs). Process Biochem 46:765–769

55. Messing RA (1976) Adsorption and inorganic bridge formations. In: Mosbach K (ed) Methods in enzymology, vol XLIV. Academic, New York, NY, pp 148–169

56. Woodward J (1985) Immobilized enzymes: adsorption and covalent coupling. In: Woodward J (ed) Immobilized cells and enzymes: a practical approach. IRL, Oxford, UK, pp 3–17

57. Tosa T, Mori T, Fuse N, Chibata I (1967) Studies on continuous enzyme reactions I. Screening of carriers for preparation of water insoluble aminoacylase. Enzymologia 31:214–224

58. Sharp AK, Kay G, Lilly MD (1969) The kinetics of beta-galactosidase attached to porous cellulose sheets. Biotechnol Bioeng 11:363–380

59. Bahulekar R, Ayyangar NR, Ponrathnam S (1991) Polyethyleneimine in immobilization of biocatalysts. Enzyme Microb Technol 13:858–868

60. Goldstein L (1972) Microenvironmental effects on enzyme catalysis. A kinetic study of polyanionic and polycationic derivatives of chymotrypsin. Biochemistry 11:4072–4084

61. Goldman R, Kedem O, Silman I, Caplan S, Katchalski-Katzir E (1968) Papain-collodion membranes. I. Preparation and properties. Biochemistry 7:486–500

62. Guisan JM, Alvaro G, Rosell CM, Fernandez-Lafuente R (1994) Industrial design of enzymic processes catalysed by very active immobilized derivatives: utilization of diffusional limitations (gradients of pH) as a profitable tool in enzyme engineering. Biotechnol Appl Biochem 20:357–369

63. Porath J (1987) Salting-out adsorption techniques for protein purification. Biopolymers 26:S193–S204

64. Caldwell K, Axén R, Bergwall M, Porath J (1976) Immobilization of enzymes based on hydrophobic interaction. I. Preparation and properties of a beta-amylase adsorbate. Biotechnol Bioeng 18:1573–1588

65. Caldwell K, Axén R, Bergwall M, Porath J (1976) Immobilization of enzymes based on hydrophobic interaction. II. Preparation and properties of an amyloglucosidase adsorbate. Biotechnol Bioeng 18:1589–1604

66. Cashion P, Lentini V, Harrison D, Javed A (1982) Enzyme immobilization on trityl-agarose: reusability of both matrix and enzyme. Bioechnol Bioeng 24:1221–1224

67. Yon R (1974) Enzyme purification by hydrophobic chromatography: an alternative approach illustrated in the purification of aspartate transcarbamoylase from wheat germ. Biochem J 137:127–130

68. Dixon J, Andrews P, Butler L (1979) Hydrophobic esters of cellulose: properties and applications in biochemical technology. Biotechnol Bioeng 21:2113–2123

69. Solomon B, Hollaander Z, Koppel R, Katchalski-Kazir E (1987) Use of monoclonal antibodies for the preparation of highly active immobilized enzymes. In: Mosbach K (ed) Methods in enzymology, vol 135. Academic, London, pp 160–170

70. Cabral JMS, Novais JM, Kennedy JF (1986) Immobilization studies of whole microbial cells on transition metal activated inorganic supports. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 23:157–162

71. Kennedy JF, Cabral JMS (1985) Immobilization of biocatalysts by metal-link/chelation processes. In: Woodward J (ed) Immobilized cells and enzymes. IRL, Oxford, UK, pp 19–37

72. Porath J (1992) Immobilized metal ion affinity chromatography. Protein Expr Purif 3:263–281

73. Kågedal L (2011) Immobilized metal ion affinity chromatography. In: Janson JC (ed) Protein purification. Wiley, New York, NY, pp 183–201

74. Brena B, Rydén L, Porath J (1994) Immobilization of β-galactosidase on metal-chelated- substituted gels. Biotechnol Appl Biochem 19:217–231

75. Batista-Viera F, Rydén L, Carlsson J (2011) Covalent chromatography. In: Janson JC (ed) Protein purification: principles, high-resolution methods, and applications. Wiley, New York, NY, pp 203–219

76. Trevan M (1980) Effect of immobilization on enzyme activity, in Immobilized enzymes an introduction and applications in biotechnology. Wiley, Chichester-New York, pp 11–56

77. Boundy J, Smiley KL, Swanson CL, Hofreiter BT (1976) Exoenzymic activity of alpha-amylase immobilized on a phenol-formaldehyde resin. Carbohydr Res 48:239–244

78. Guisán JM, Penzol G, Armisen P, Bastida A, Blanco R, Fernández-Lafuente R, García-Junceda E (1997) Immobilization of enzymes acting on macromolecular substrates. In: Bickerstaff GF (ed) Immobilization of enzymes and cells. Humana, Totowa, NJ, pp 261–275

79. Mateo C, Palomo JM, Fernandez-Lorente G, Guisan JM, Fernandez-Lafuente R (2007) Improvement of enzyme activity, stability and selectivity via immobilization techniques. Enzyme Microbial Technol 40:1451–1463

80. Blanco RM, Calvete JJ, Guisán JM (1989) Immobilization-stabilization of enzymes. Variables that control the intensity of the trypsin (amine)-agarose-(aldehyde) -multipoint attachment. Enzyme Microbial Technol 11:353–359

81. Koch-Schmidt A, Mosbach K (1977) Studies on conformation of soluble and immobilized enzymes using differential scanning calorimetry. 1. Thermal stability of nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide dependent dehydrogenases. Biochemistry 16:2101–2105

82. Koch-Schmidt A, Mosbach K (1977) Studies on conformation of soluble and immobilized enzymes using differential scanning calorimetry. 2. Specific activity and thermal stability of enzymes bound weakly and strongly to

Sepharose CL 4B. Biochemistry 16: 2105–2109

83. Gabel D, Steinberg I, Katchalski-Kazir E (1971) Changes in conformation of insolubilized trypsin and chymotrypsin, followed by fluorescence. Biochemistry 10: 4661–4669

84. Betancor L, Luckarift H (2010) Co-immobilized coupled enzyme systems in biotechnology. Biotechnol Genet Eng Rev 27:95–114

85. Zhu L, Yang R, Zhai J, Tian C (2007) Bienzymatic glucose biosensor based on co-immobilization of peroxidase and glucose oxidase on a carbon nanotube electrode. Biosens Bioelectron 23:528–536

86. Jeykumari DR, Narayanan SS (2008) Fabrication of bioenzyme nanobiocomposite electrode using functionalized carbon nanotubes for biosensing applications. Biosens Bioelectron 23(11):1686–1693

Springer